

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**

(use as many sheets as necessary)

Application Number	10716,595
Filing Date	November 20, 2003
First Named Inventor	Michael FARMWALD <i>et al.</i>
Confirmation No.	7213
Art Unit	2827
Examiner Name	Nguyen, Tan
Attorney Docket Number	57941.000062

Sheet 1 of 15

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*Examiner Initials	Cite No.	DOCUMENT NUMBER Number - Kind Code (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
		US			
		US			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*Examiner Initials	Cite No.	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENT	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	TRANSLATION	
		Country Code Number-Kind Code (if known)				YES	NO
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

OTHER DOCUMENTS - NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

*Examiner Initials	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	TRANSLATION	
			YES	NO
/TN/	1.	European Search Report, dated March 23, 2006, from European Application No. 05 02 6720.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
/TN/	2.	Office Action mailed in Application Control No. 95/001,178 on July 22, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
/TN/	3.	Office Action mailed in Application Control Nos. 95/001,026 and 95/001,128 on July 22, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
/TN/	4.	Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

EXAMINER SIGNATURE

/Tan Nguyen/

DATE CONSIDERED

11/09/2009

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /TN/

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(use as many sheets as necessary)</i>		Application Number	10716,595
		Filing Date	November 20, 2003
		First Named Inventor	Michael FARMWALD <i>et al.</i>
		Confirmation No.	7213
		Art Unit	2827
		Examiner Name	Nguyen, Tan
Sheet	2 of 15	Attorney Docket Number	57941.000062

OTHER DOCUMENTS - NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS				
*Examiner Initials	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	TRANSLATION	
			YES	NO
/TN/	5.	File History of U.S. Patent 6,564,281.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	6.	File History of U.S. Patent 6,304,939.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	7.	CC-A1 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	8.	CC-A2 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual in view of Inagaki to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	9.	CC-A3 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual in view of Inagaki and Bazes to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	10.	CC-A4 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual in view of Inagaki and Lofgren to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	11.	CC-B1 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual in view of Olson to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	12.	CC-B2 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual in view of Olson and Inagaki to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
↓	13.	CC-B3 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual in view of Olson and Inagaki and Bazes to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
/TN/	14.	CC-B4 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual in view of Olson and Inagaki and Lofgren to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

EXAMINER SIGNATURE	/Tan Nguyen/	DATE CONSIDERED	11/09/2009
*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.			

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /TN/

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(use as many sheets as necessary)</i>		Application Number	10/716,595
		Filing Date	November 20, 2003
		First Named Inventor	Michael FARMWALD <i>et al.</i>
		Confirmation No.	7213
		Art Unit	2827
		Examiner Name	Nguyen, Tan
Sheet	3 of 15	Attorney Docket Number	57941.000062

OTHER DOCUMENTS - NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS				
Examiner Initials	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	TRANSLATION	
			YES	NO
/TN/	15.	CC-C1 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual in view of Wicklund to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	16.	CC-C2 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual in view of Wicklund and Inagaki to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	17.	CC-C3 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual in view of Wicklund and Inagaki and Bazes to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	18.	CC-C4 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual in view of Wicklund and Inagaki and Lofgren to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	19.	CC-D1 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	20.	CC-D2 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual in view of iRAM and Inagaki to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	21.	CC-D3 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual in view of iRAM, Inagaki and Bazes to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	22.	CC-D4 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual in view of iRAM, Inagaki and Lofgren to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
↓	23.	CC-E1 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual in view of iRAM and Olson to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
/TN/	24.	CC-E2 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual in view of iRAM, Olson and Inagaki to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

EXAMINER SIGNATURE	/Tan Nguyen/	DATE CONSIDERED	11/09/2009
*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.			

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /TN/

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(use as many sheets as necessary)</i>		Application Number	10716,595
		Filing Date	November 20, 2003
		First Named Inventor	Michael FARMWALD <i>et al.</i>
		Confirmation No.	7213
		Art Unit	2827
		Examiner Name	Nguyen, Tan
Sheet	4 of 15	Attorney Docket Number	57941.000062

OTHER DOCUMENTS - NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS				
*Examiner Initials	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	TRANSLATION	
			YES	NO
/TN/	25.	CC-E3 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual in view of iRAM, Olson and Inagaki and Bazes to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	26.	CC-E4 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual in view of iRAM, Olson and Inagaki and Lofgren to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	27.	CC-F1 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual in view of iRAM and Wicklund to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	28.	CC-F2 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual in view of iRAM, Wicklund and Inagaki to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	29.	CC-F3 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual in view of iRAM, Wicklund and Inagaki and Bazes to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	30.	CC-F4 - Claim Chart comparing iAPX Manual in view of iRAM, Wicklund and Inagaki and Lofgren to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	31.	CC-G1 - Claim Chart comparing Budde to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	32.	CC-G2 - Claim Chart comparing Budde in view of Inagaki to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
↓	33.	CC-G3 - Claim Chart comparing Budde in view of Inagaki and Bazes to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
/TN/	34.	CC-G4 - Claim chart comparing Budde in view of Inagaki and Lofgren to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

EXAMINER SIGNATURE	/Tan Nguyen/	DATE CONSIDERED	11/09/2009
*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.			

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /TN/

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (use as many sheets as necessary)		Application Number	10716,595
		Filing Date	November 20, 2003
		First Named Inventor	Michael FARMWALD <i>et al.</i>
		Confirmation No.	7213
		Art Unit	2827
		Examiner Name	Nguyen, Tan
Sheet	5 of 15	Attorney Docket Number	57941.000062

OTHER DOCUMENTS - NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS				
*Examiner Initials	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	TRANSLATION	
			YES	NO
/TN/	35.	CC-H1 - Claim Chart comparing Budde in view of Olson to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	36.	CC-H2 - Claim Chart comparing Budde in view of Olson and Inagaki to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	37.	CC-H3 - Claim Chart comparing Budde in view of Olson and Inagaki and Bazes to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	39.	CC-H4 - Claim Chart comparing Budde in view of Olson and Inagaki and Lofgren to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	39.	CC-I1 - Claim Chart comparing Budde in view of Wicklund to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	40.	CC-I2 - Claim Chart comparing Budde in view of Wicklund and Inagaki to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	41.	CC-I3 - Claim Chart comparing Budde in view of Wicklund and Inagaki and Bazes to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	42.	CC-I4 - Claim Chart comparing Budde in view of Wicklund and Inagaki and Lofgren to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
↓	43.	CC-J1 - Claim Chart comparing Budde to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
/TN/	44.	CC-J2 - Claim Chart comparing Budde in view of iRAM and Inagaki to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

EXAMINER SIGNATURE	/Tan Nguyen/	DATE CONSIDERED	11/09/2009
*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.			

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /TN/

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO		Application Number	10716,595
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (use as many sheets as necessary)		Filing Date	November 20, 2003
		First Named Inventor	Michael FARMWALD <i>et al.</i>
		Confirmation No.	7213
		Art Unit	2827
		Examiner Name	Nguyen, Tan
Sheet	6 of 15	Attorney Docket Number	57941.000062

OTHER DOCUMENTS - NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS				
Examiner Initials	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	TRANSLATION	
			YES	NO
/TN/	45.	CC-J3 - Claim Chart comparing Budde in view of iRAM, Inagaki and Bazes to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	46.	CC-J4 - Claim Chart comparing Budde in view of iRAM, Inagaki and Lofgren to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	47.	CC-K1 - Claim Chart comparing Budde in view of iRAM and Olson to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	48.	CC-K2 - Claim Chart comparing Budde in view of iRAM, Olson and Inagaki to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	49.	CC-K3 - Claim Chart comparing Budde in view of iRAM, Olson and Inagaki and Bazes to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	50.	CC-K4 - Claim Chart comparing Budde in view of iRAM, Olson and Inagaki and Lofgren to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	51.	CC-L1 - Claim Chart comparing Budde in view of iRAM and Wicklund to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	52.	CC-L2 - Claim Chart comparing Budde in view of iRAM, Wicklund and Inagaki to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
↓	53.	CC-L3 - Claim Chart comparing Budde in view of iRAM, Wicklund and Inagaki and Bazes to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
/TN/	54.	CC-L4 - Claim Chart comparing Budde in view of iRAM, Wicklund and Inagaki and Lofgren to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

EXAMINER SIGNATURE	/Tan Nguyen/	DATE CONSIDERED	11/09/2009
*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.			

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /TN/

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(use as many sheets as necessary)</i>		Application Number	10716,595
		Filing Date	November 20, 2003
		First Named Inventor	Michael FARMWALD <i>et al.</i>
		Confirmation No.	7213
		Art Unit	2827
		Examiner Name	Nguyen, Tan
Sheet	7 of 15	Attorney Docket Number	57941.000062

OTHER DOCUMENTS - NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS				
*Examiner Initials	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	TRANSLATION	
			YES	NO
/TN/	55.	CC-M1 - Claim Chart comparing Barth to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	56.	CC-M2 - Claim Chart comparing Barth in view of Inagaki to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	57.	CC-M3 - Claim Chart comparing Barth in view of Inagaki and Bazes to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	59.	CC-M4 - Claim Chart comparing Barth in view of Inagaki and Lofgren to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	59.	CC-N1 - Claim Chart comparing Park to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	60.	CC-N2 - Claim Chart comparing Park in view of Inagaki to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	61.	CC-N3 - Claim Chart comparing Park in view of Inagaki and Bazes to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	62.	CC-N4 - Claim Chart comparing Park in view of Inagaki and Lofgren to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
↓	63.	CC-O1 - Claim Chart comparing Park in view of Olson to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
/TN/	64.	CC-O2 - Claim Chart comparing Park in view of Olson and Inagaki to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

EXAMINER SIGNATURE	/Tan Nguyen/	DATE CONSIDERED	11/09/2009
*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.			

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /TN/

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(use as many sheets as necessary)</i>		Application Number	10/716,595
		Filing Date	November 20, 2003
		First Named Inventor	Michael FARMWALD <i>et al.</i>
		Confirmation No.	7213
		Art Unit	2827
		Examiner Name	Nguyen, Tan
Sheet	8 of 15	Attorney Docket Number	57941.000062

OTHER DOCUMENTS - NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS				
*Examiner Initials	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	TRANSLATION	
			YES	NO
/TN/	65.	CC-O3 - Claim Chart comparing Park in view of Olson, Inagaki and Bazes to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	66.	CC-O4 - Claim Chart comparing Park in view of Olson, Inagaki and Lofgren to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	67.	CC-P1 - Claim Chart comparing Park in view of Wicklund to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	68.	CC-P2 - Claim Chart comparing Park in view of Wicklund and Inagaki to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	69.	CC-P3 - Claim Chart comparing Park in view of Wicklund, Inagaki and Bazes to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	70.	CC-P4 - Claim Chart comparing Park in view of Wicklund, Inagaki and Lofgren to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/000,470 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	71.	Request for Ex Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	72.	CC-A1 - Claim Chart comparing Inagaki to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
↓	73.	CC-A2 - Claim Chart comparing Inagaki in view of Olson to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
/TN/	74.	CC-A3 - Claim Chart comparing Inagaki in view of Wicklund to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

EXAMINER SIGNATURE /Tan Nguyen/	DATE CONSIDERED 11/09/2009
*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.	

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /TN/

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(use as many sheets as necessary)</i>		Application Number	10716,595
		Filing Date	November 20, 2003
		First Named Inventor	Michael FARMWALD <i>et al.</i>
		Confirmation No.	7213
		Art Unit	2827
		Examiner Name	Nguyen, Tan
Sheet	9 of 15	Attorney Docket Number	57941.000062

OTHER DOCUMENTS - NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS				
*Examiner Initials	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	TRANSLATION	
			YES	NO
/TN/	75.	CC-A4 - Claim Chart comparing Inagaki in view of Bowater to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	76.	CC-A5 - Claim Chart comparing Inagaki in view of Lofgren to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	77.	CC-A6 - Claim Chart comparing Inagaki in view of Bazes to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	78.	CC-A7 - Claim Chart comparing Inagaki in view of Muller to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	79.	CC-A8 - Claim Chart comparing Inagaki in view of Erdelyi to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	80.	CC-B1 - Claim Chart comparing Novak to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	81.	CC-B2 - Claim Chart comparing Novak in view of Lofgren and Inagaki to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	82.	CC-B3 - Claim Chart comparing Novak in view of Bazes and Inagaki to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	83.	CC-B4 - Claim Chart comparing Novak in view of Muller to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
↓	84.	CC-B5 - Claim Chart comparing Novak in view of Erdelyi to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
/TN/	85.	CC-C1 - Claim Chart comparing SCI-A in view of SCI-B to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

EXAMINER SIGNATURE	DATE CONSIDERED
*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.	

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /TN/

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (use as many sheets as necessary)		Application Number	10/716,595
		Filing Date	November 20, 2003
		First Named Inventor	Michael FARMWALD <i>et al.</i>
		Confirmation No.	7213
		Art Unit	2827
		Examiner Name	Nguyen, Tan
Sheet	10 of 15	Attorney Docket Number	57941.000062

OTHER DOCUMENTS - NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS				
*Examiner Initials	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	TRANSLATION	
			YES	NO
/TN/	86.	CC-C2 - Claim Chart comparing SCI-A in view of SCI-B and Bennett to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	87.	CC-C3 - Claim Chart comparing SCI-A in view of SCI-B and Bowater to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	88.	CC-C4 - Claim Chart comparing SCI-A in view of SCI-B and Olson to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	89.	CC-C5 - Claim Chart comparing SCI-A in view of SCI-B and Wicklund to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	90.	CC-C6 - Claim Chart comparing SCI-A in view of SCI-B and Muller to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	91.	CC-C7 - Claim Chart comparing SCI-A in view of SCI-B and Erdelyi to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	92.	CC-D1 - Claim Chart comparing SCI-A in view of SCI-B and Moussouris to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	93.	CC-D2 - Claim Chart comparing SCI-A in view of SCI-B, Moussouris and Bennett to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	94.	CC-D3 - Claim Chart comparing SCI-A in view of SCI-B, Moussouris and Bowater to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
✓	95.	CC-D4 - Claim Chart comparing SCI-A in view of SCI-B, Moussouris and Olson to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
/TN/	96.	CC-D5 - Claim Chart comparing SCI-A in view of SCI-B, Moussouris and Wicklund to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

EXAMINER SIGNATURE	/Tan Nguyen/	DATE CONSIDERED	11/09/2009
*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.			

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /TN/

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(use as many sheets as necessary)</i>		Application Number	10/716,595
		Filing Date	November 20, 2003
		First Named Inventor	Michael FARMWALD <i>et al.</i>
		Confirmation No.	7213
		Art Unit	2827
		Examiner Name	Nguyen, Tan
Sheet	11 of 15	Attorney Docket Number	57941.000062

OTHER DOCUMENTS - NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS				
*Examiner Initials	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	TRANSLATION	
			YES	NO
/TN/	97.	CC-D6 - Claim Chart comparing SCI-A in view of SCI-B, Moussouris and Muller to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	98.	CC-D7 - Claim Chart comparing SCI-A in view of SCI-B, Moussouris and Erdelyi to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	99.	CC-E1 - Claim Chart comparing Bennett in view of Inagaki to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	100.	CC-E2 - Claim Chart comparing Bennett in view of Inagaki and Olson to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	101.	CC-E3 - Claim Chart comparing Bennett in view of Inagaki and Wicklund to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	102.	CC-E4 - Claim Chart comparing Bennett in view of Inagaki and Bowater to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	103.	CC-E5 - Claim Chart comparing Bennett in view of Inagaki and Lofgren to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	104.	CC-E6 - Claim Chart comparing Bennett in view of Inagaki and Bazes to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	105.	CC-E7 - Claim Chart comparing Bennett in view of Inagaki and Muller to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
↓	106.	CC-E8 - Claim Chart comparing Bennett in view of Inagaki and Erdelyi to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
/TN/	107.	CC-F1 - Claim Chart comparing Bennett in view of SCI-B to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

EXAMINER SIGNATURE	/Tan Nguyen/	DATE CONSIDERED	11/09/2009
*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.			

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /TN/

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(use as many sheets as necessary)</i>		Application Number	10716,595
		Filing Date	November 20, 2003
		First Named Inventor	Michael FARMWALD <i>et al.</i>
		Confirmation No.	7213
		Art Unit	2827
		Examiner Name	Nguyen, Tan
Sheet	12 of 15	Attorney Docket Number	57941.000062

OTHER DOCUMENTS - NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS				
*Examiner Initials	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	TRANSLATION	
			YES	NO
/TN/	108.	CC-F2 - Claim Chart comparing Bennett in view of SCI-B and Olson to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	109.	CC-F3 - Claim Chart comparing Bennett in view of SCI-B and Wicklund to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	110.	CC-F4 - Claim Chart comparing Bennett in view of SCI-B and Bowater to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	111.	CC-F5 - Claim Chart comparing Bennett in view of SCI-B and Muller to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	112.	CC-F6 - Claim Chart comparing Bennett in view of SCI-B and Erdelyi to claims of the '937 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,304,937 issued Control No. 90/010,537 filed May 13, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	113.	Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,260,097 issued Control No. 95/001,134 filed January 9, 2009.		
	114.	File History of U.S. Patent 6,260,097 , 02/28/2000 .		
	115.	Exhibit P - Claim chart for claims 1-2, 7-8, 10, 14-17, 20, and 22-23 of the '097 patent based on Inagaki cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,260,097 issued Control No. 95/001,134 filed January 9, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
↓	116.	Exhibit Q - Claim chart for claims 1-35 of the '097 patent based on the iAPX Manual, with additional reference to the Inagaki, Rau, the iAPX Specification, Grover, Bazes, Lofgren, and Lu cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,260,097 issued Control No. 95/001,134 filed January 9, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
/TN/	117.	Exhibit R - Claim chart for claims 1-35 of the '097 patent based on Budde, with additional reference to the Inagaki, Ran, Grover, Bazes, and Lofgren cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,260,097 issued Control No. 95/001,134 filed January 9, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

EXAMINER SIGNATURE /Tan Nguyen/	DATE CONSIDERED 11/09/2009
*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.	

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /TN/

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (use as many sheets as necessary)		Application Number	10716,595
		Filing Date	November 20, 2003
		First Named Inventor	Michael FARMWALD et al.
		Confirmation No.	7213
		Art Unit	2827
		Examiner Name	Nguyen, Tan
Sheet	13 of 15	Attorney Docket Number	57941.000062

OTHER DOCUMENTS - NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS				
*Examiner Initials	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	TRANSLATION	
			YES	NO
/TN/	118.	Exhibit S - Claim chart for claims 1-8, 10-26, 28-30, and 32-35 of the '097 patent based on Bennett, with additional reference to the Inagaki, Grover, Bazes, and Lofgen cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,260,097 issued Control No. 95/001,134 filed January 9, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	119.	Exhibit T - Claim chart for claims 1-5, 7-12, 14-32, and 34-35 of the '097 patent based on SCI-A and SCI-B, with additional reference to Inagaki and Lu cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,260,097 issued Control No. 95/001,134 filed January 9, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	120.	Exhibit U - Claim chart for claims 1-8, 10-26, 28-30, and 32-35 of the '097 patent based on the JEDEC Standard, with additional reference to Inagaki cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,260,097 issued Control No. 95/001,134 filed January 9, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	121.	Exhibit V - Claim chart for claims 1-8, 10-26, 28-30, and 32-35 of the '097 patent based on Park, with additional reference to the JEDEC Standard and Inagaki cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,260,097 issued Control No. 95/001,134 filed January 9, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	122.	Exhibit AG - Hynix-II, Rambus Inc.'s Reply to Manufacturers' Responsive Brief on Claim Construction cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,260,097 issued Control No. 95/001,134 filed January 9, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	123.	Exhibit AJ - Hynix-II, Order Granting In Part and Denying In Part Rambus' Motion to Strike; Denying Motion of Summary Judgement No. 1 of Invalidity; and Striking Motion for Summary Judgment No. 2 of Invalidity cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,260,097 issued Control No. 95/001,134 filed January 9, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	124.	Exhibit AK - Action Closing Prosecution mailed December 22, 2008 in Control No. 95/001,008 cited in Request for Inter Partes Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,260,097 issued Control No. 95/001,134 filed January 9, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	125.	Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/010,540 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	126.	CC-A1 - Claim Chart comparing Novak to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/010,540 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
/TN/	127.	CC-A2 - Claim Chart comparing Novak in view of Bazes to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/010,540 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

EXAMINER SIGNATURE	/Tan Nguyen/	DATE CONSIDERED	11/09/2009
*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.			

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /TN/

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (use as many sheets as necessary)		Application Number	10716,595
		Filing Date	November 20, 2003
		First Named Inventor	Michael FARMWALD <i>et al.</i>
		Confirmation No.	7213
		Art Unit	2827
		Examiner Name	Nguyen, Tan
Sheet	14 of 15	Attorney Docket Number	57941.000062

OTHER DOCUMENTS - NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS				
*Examiner Initials	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	TRANSLATION	
			YES	NO
/TN/	128.	CC-A3 - Claim Chart comparing Novak in view of Lofgren to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/010,540 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	129.	CC-B1 - Claim Chart comparing SCI-A in view of SCI-B and Olson to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/010,540 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	130.	CC-C1 - Claim Chart comparing SCI-A in view of SCI-B, Moussouris and Olson to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/010,540 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	131.	CC-D1 - Claim Chart comparing SCI-A in view of SCI-B and Wicklund to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/010,540 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	132.	CC-E1 - Claim Chart comparing SCI-A in view of SCI-B, Moussouris and Wicklund to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/010,540 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	133.	CC-F1 - Claim Chart comparing Bennett in view of Olson to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/010,540 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	134.	CC-F2 - Claim Chart comparing Bennett in view of Olson and Inagaki to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/010,540 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	135.	CC-F3 - Claim Chart comparing Bennett in view of Olson, Inagaki and Bazes to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/010,540 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	136.	CC-F4 - Claim Chart comparing Bennett in view of Olson, Inagaki and Lofgren to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/010,540 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
↓	137.	CC-G1 - Claim Chart comparing Bennett in view of Wicklund to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/010,540 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
/TN/	138.	CC-G2 - Claim Chart comparing Bennett in view of Wicklund and Inagaki to claims of the '281 patent cited in Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent 6,564,281 issued Control No. 95/010,540 filed May 14, 2009.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

EXAMINER SIGNATURE	DATE CONSIDERED
*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.	

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /TN/

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO		Application Number	10/716,595
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(use as many sheets as necessary)</i>		Filing Date	November 20, 2003
		First Named Inventor	Michael FARMWALD <i>et al.</i>
		Confirmation No.	7213
		Art Unit	2827
		Examiner Name	Nguyen, Tan
		Attorney Docket Number	57941.000062
Sheet	15 of 15		

[illegible]

EXAMINER SIGNATURE /Tan Nguyen/	DATE CONSIDERED 11/09/2009
<p>*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.</p>	

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /IN/